

WEST HORSLEY NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

Suggested Revisions to Draft NP v3.5

10 February 2017

from Steve Bray, lead CAIBE Group

Section 2 - The Neighbourhood Area

2.3 My preliminary research suggests that East Horsley was proposed to become a District Centre under the GBC draft Local Plan which was consulted in summer 2016, and I can find no evidence that it has actually been so delegated as of yet. I therefore suggest that this paragraph wording is revised as follows:

*“... a much larger settlement **proposed to be** defined by GBC ...”*

2.15 Typo – “medieval”?

Section 3 - Planning Policy Context

3.6 Adapt final sentence to read “... **but to address** the scenario ...”

Section 4 – Community Views on Planning Issues

4.6 I suggest that we now add a new paragraph to read along these lines:

“In January 2017 the WHNP Steering Group circulated the residents and held a Village Open Day in order to inform the community of the progress of the draft Neighbourhood Plan, to attend formal Q&A sessions, and to complete a short questionnaire so to obtain opinions on the policies it was proposed be included in the Neighbourhood Plan - the draft NP, the evidence bases, and the questionnaire were also made available online via the Steering Group website. Over 200 people attended the Open Day itself, and the support of the community for the proposed policies as demonstrated by the completed questionnaires was overwhelming, averaging close to 95%.”

Section 5 – Vision, Objectives and Land Use Policies

5.7 I suggest change first line to read:

“There are nine distinct Character Areas ...”

and revise table Areas 8 and 9 to read: *Ockham Road North (part)*

I also suggest that Rob might like to re-think his table classifications (and indeed policy headings) to avoid the use of the words “Village Settlement”, see below, and to remove references to Green Belt which otherwise could be held to infer that the GB follows the Character Area definitions - which it doesn't!

5.9 I agree that the references to “Northern and Southern Settlement Areas” is confusing, with particular regard to the map on page 7! Maybe first line could read:

“... manage design quality in the village and to ensure that new development ...”

and amend the following sub-section headings to read:

Southern Setting (spine of The Street) and Northern Setting (spine of East Lane)

WH7 Remove footnote to policy.

5.41 Add an additional sentence at the end of this paragraph to read:

“This is in addition to, but separate from, those properties which are Grade I or Grade II Listed and which are scheduled thus by English Heritage (see the Appendices to CAIBE Group report October 2016) - this Neighbourhood Plan can add no more to this protection already in place.”